STATE OF WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT IV

RECEIVED

12-23-2019

CLERK OF COURT OF APPEALS OF WISCONSIN

STATE OF WISCONSIN,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

vs.

Appeal No. 2019AP000858 CR

JEFFREY T. ZIEGLER,

Defendant-Appellant.

REPLY BRIEF OF DEFENDANT-APPELLANT JEFFREY T. ZIEGLER

Appeals from judgments and an order of the circuit court for Dane County,

the Honorable John D. Hyland, judge.

Patricia Sommer

State Bar No. 1031925

Attorney for Jeffery T. Ziegler

Sommer Law Office, LLC 509 Nova Way Madison, WI 53704 (608) 957-4959

ARGUMENT

The Facts Alleged in the Complaints Did Not Allow an Inference of Sexual Gratification, Even Looking at the Record and the Surrounding Circumstances, and Ziegler Should Be Allowed to Withdraw His Plea.

WISCONSIN STAT. § 942.08(2)(d)1 requires that the actor looks into a dwelling unit "for the purpose of sexual arousal or gratification." There was no fact alleged in the Zeigler complaints to justify a finding of sexual gratification in this case. Furthermore, too accept a guilty plea, the circuit court must determine that the conduct to which the defendant has admitted constitutes the offense charged. *State v. Thomas*, 232 Wis. 2d 714, 727, 605 N.W.2d 836 (1999). "[I]f a circuit court fails to establish a factual basis that the defendant admits constitutes the offense pleaded to, manifest injustice has occurred," *id.* at 727, and the circuit court should allow withdrawal of the plea, *see State v. Higgs*, 230 Wis. 2d 1, 10, 601 N.W.2d 653 (Ct. App. 1999).

Neither complaint in this case alleged any fact showing that Ziegler's actions were for sexual arousal or gratification. Ziegler was looking through windows. From this, the circuit court infers that Ziegler's actions were for sexual gratification. But in the written decision denying Ziegler's postconviction motion, the circuit court only states that "the surrounding circumstances establish the reasonableness of the inference." Decision and Order, April 17, 2019: A28. The court does not explain what circumstances allow the inference, no doubt because there are none. This constitutes an erroneous exercise of discretion.

CONCLUSION

The circuit court's acceptance of Ziegler's guilty plea to charges that had no basis in fact was a manifest injustice, and Ziegler must be allowed to withdraw his plea.

Dated this 23rd day of December, 2019.

Respectfully submitted

Patricia Sommer

State Bar # 1031925

Attorney for Jeffrey T. Ziegler

Sommer Law Office, LLC 509 Nova Way Madison, WI 53704 (608) 957-4959 patty@psommerlaw.com

Filed 12-23-2019

CERTIFICATION

I certify that this brief conforms to the rules contained in WIS. STAT. § 809.19(8)(b) and (c) for a brief produced using the following font:

> Monospaced font: 10 characters per inch; double-spaced; 1.5-inch margin on left side and 1-inch margins on the other 3 sides. The length of this brief is _ pages.

Proportional serif font: Minimum printing resolution of 200 dots per inch, 13 point body text, 11 point for quotes and footnotes, leading of minimum 2 points, maximum of 60 characters per full line of body text. The length of this brief is 535 words.

Dated: December 23, 2019

Patricia Sommer

SBN 1031925 Sommer Law Office, LLC 509 Nova Way Madison, WI 53704 (608) 957-4959

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH WIS STAT. § 809.19(12)(f)

I hereby certify that:

I have submitted an electronic copy of this brief, excluding the appendix, if any, which complies with the requirements of WIS. STAT. § 809.19(12)(f).

I further certify that:

This electronic brief is identical in content and format to the printed form of the brief filed as of this date.

A copy of this certificate has been served with the paper copies of this brief filed with the court and served on all opposing parties.

Patricia Sommer

SBN 1031925 Sommer Law Office, LLC 509 Nova Way Madison, WI 53704 (608) 957-4959