Page 1 of 5

FILED 07-09-2021 CLERK OF WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal No. 2021AP000218

STATE OF WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT II

TOWN OF BROOKFIELD,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

-VS.-

MARTIN M. GONZALEZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE NON-PARTY BRIEF

Pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 809.19(7)(a), the First Amendment Clinic at Duke Law hereby moves for an order granting it leave to file a non-party brief in this action as *Amicus Curiae*. In support, amicus submit their proposed brief and state as follows:

1. Amicus Duke Law First Amendment Clinic is dedicated to protecting and advancing the First Amendment freedoms of speech,

press, assembly, and petition, in legal representation and through public commentary. Students enrolled in the Clinic are certified by the State Bar of North Carolina to perform supervised legal work. Amicus has submitted numerous non-party briefs in federal and state courts on issues involving the rights of speech, press, assembly, and petition.

2. Amicus has a particular interest state statutes that punish disorderly conduct, as such statutes can be used to punish protected speech and related expressive activity.

3. This case questions whether the Town of Brookfield can lawfully apply a civil interpretation of the Wisconsin Disorderly Conduct statute, Wis. Stat. § 947.01, to the social media postings of the Defendant-Appellant, Martin M. Gonzalez. The Town has argued that Gonzalez's postings were "true threats," which are exempt from First Amendtment protections. Amicus urges this court to vacate the conviction of Gonzalez because his social media postings are not true threats but rather speech protected by the First Amendment.

2

4. Amicus is well-positioned to aid this Court in the instant case by providing insights into the application of Wisconsin's "true threats" test and the significant ramifications of finding that social media postings of the sort posted by Gonzalez are true threats. The brief of Amicus demonstrates that Gonzalez's postings were not true threats under Wisconsin law and that affirming his conviction has would transform every Wisconsinite's social media account into an endless source of punishable true threats.

5. The Town's response brief was filed on June 25, 2021. Hence, this motion is timely filed pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 809.19(7)(b).

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the First Amendment Clinic at Duke Law respectfully requests that the Court grant its motion for permission to file a non-party brief in this case, and that the Court accept the proposed non-party brief that accompanies this motion. Dated this 9th day of July, 2021.

Respectfully submitted by,

Electronically signed by Matthew S. Pinix Matthew S. Pinix, SBN 1064368 PINIX LAW, LLC 1200 East Capitol Drive, Suite 360 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211 T: 414.963.6164 | F: 414.967.9169 matthew@pinixlaw.com

Sarah Ludington (pro hac vice pending) North Carolina Bar No. 19997 First Amendment Clinic Duke University School of Law 210 Science Drive Durham, NC 27708 Phone: (919) 619-5255 ludington@law.duke.edu

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this motion has been filed with the Court

and served on all parties on this 9th day of July 2021 as follows:

Town of Brookfield James W. Hammes Cramer, Multhauf & Hammes, LLP 1601 E Racine Ave, Ste 200; PO Box 558 Waukesha, WI 53187-0558 *Attorney for Plaintiff-Respondent*

Daniel M. Adams 1200 East Capitol Drive, Suite 360 Milwaukee, WI 53211 *Attorney for Defendant-Appellant*

Dated this 9th day of July, 2021.

Respectfully submitted by,

<u>Electronically signed by</u> <u>Matthew S. Pinix</u> Matthew S. Pinix, SBN 1064368 PINIX LAW, LLC 1200 East Capitol Drive, Ste. 360 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211 T: 414.963.6164 | F: 414.967.9169 matthew@pinixlaw.com Sarah Ludington (pro hac vice pending) North Carolina Bar No. 19997 First Amendment Clinic Duke University School of Law 210 Science Drive Durham, NC 27708 Phone: (919) 619-5255 ludington@law.duke.edu

Counsel for Amicus Curiae