

**FILED
06-22-2022
CLERK OF WISCONSIN
COURT OF APPEALS**

IN THE
COURT OF APPEALS

DISTRICT IV

Case No. 2021AP2220

Board Of Regents Of The University Of Wisconsin

Plaintiff- Respondent,

v.

ROBIN LAVANCE PERKINS

Defendant-Appellant.

ON APPEAL RESPONSE BRIEF OF
PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT

BRIEF FOR THE RESPONDENT DEFENDANT-
APPELLANT

THE HONORABLE MICHAEL R. FITZPATRICK,
JOANNE KLOPPENBURG, RACHEL GRAHAM,
BRIAN BLANCHARD, JENNIFER NASHOLD

ROBIN LAVANCE PERKINS
Pro Se

615 E Washington
Madison, Wisconsin 53703
(608)-598-7633
robinperkins2013@hotmail.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities 1
Questions Presented2
Statement of Facts 2
Summary of the Argument3
Argument3
Conclusion..... 5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
<u>Darby v. The Brig Erstern</u> , 2 U.S 34 (1782)	4

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Was the plaintiff-respondent aware of the petitioner's inability to seek council after the plaintiff-respondent read submitted brief from the online portal and the petitioner had already sought to have complaint heard by the appeals court by bringing complaint as pro se.

2. Whether the plaintiff-respondent was in proper contact with the petitioner when the petitioner had previously reached out to the office of the attorney general an office that represent the legal affairs of the state.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

I am a citizen of the United States. I was born in the state of Wisconsin. I am not affiliated with any other country. I am a legal resident of the state. I applied and was accepted at the University of Wisconsin Madison.

ARGUMENT

1. THE RESPONDENT'S DETERMINATION TO PLEED FOR DISMISSAL WAS BASED ON DEFENDANT'S BRIEF AND SHOULD BE SEEN FOR IT ONE ACTING AS A VIOLATOR OF DEFENDANT'S RIGHTS.

Darby v. The Brig Erstern, 2 U.S 34 (1782)

The defendants' treatment as a citizen violates the law due to injustice. The state has not seen its day when it comes to prioritizing claims made against the defendant. The respondent has at its means any means necessary to promote its ideological principles. The respondent seems unable to consider in their current post one that should use discipline when considering helping the people of the state; however, would not it be useful; to adhere to justice rather than to the bad of society. The respondent does not seem to recognize that the defendant is representing pro se. Respondent should be of scenery cause, yet is not rather seen as one out of touch and out of line with the people whom it represents. The initial complaint alleges misconduct on the school and wishes further allegations on the part of the Attorney General.

CONCLUSION

The respondent's decision to plead for dismissal was based on defendants brief. The respondent represents the legal affairs of the state and this should matter greatly in the treatment of defendant. The defendants request remains the same wishing to return to contractual agreement.

Respectfully Submitted,

ROBIN LAVANCE PERKINS

signed

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized, cursive 'R' followed by a horizontal line extending to the right.