

FILED
04-25-2024
CLERK OF WISCONSIN
SUPREME COURT

No. 24AP330-OA

In the Supreme Court of Wisconsin

PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF WISCONSIN, on behalf of itself, its employees, and its patients, KATHY KING, M.D., ALLISON LINTON, M.D., M.P.H., on behalf of themselves and their patients, MARIA L., JENNIFER S., LESLIE K., and ANAIS L., PETITIONERS,

v.

JOEL URMANSKI, in his official capacity as District Attorney for Sheboygan County, Wisconsin, ISMAEL R. OZANNE, in his official capacity as District Attorney for Dane County, Wisconsin and JOHN T. CHISHOLM, in his official capacity as District Attorney for Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, RESPONDENTS.

**PETITION TO INTERVENE, OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO
FILE AN AMICUS BRIEF, ON BEHALF OF WISCONSIN
RIGHT TO LIFE, WISCONSIN FAMILY ACTION, AND PRO-
LIFE WISCONSIN**

WISCONSIN INSTITUTE FOR
LAW & LIBERTY

RICK ESENBERG
LUKE N. BERG

NATHALIE E. BURMEISTER

330 E. Kilbourn Ave., Ste. 725
Milwaukee, WI 53202
Phone: (414) 727-9455
Facsimile: (414) 727-6385

THOMAS MORE SOCIETY

ANDREW BATH

309 W. Washington Street
Suite 1250
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Phone: (312) 782-1680

*Attorneys for Wisconsin Right to Life,
Wisconsin Family Action, and Pro-Life Wisconsin*

Proposed Intervenors, Wisconsin Right to Life, Wisconsin Family Action, and Pro-Life Wisconsin, by their undersigned attorneys at the Wisconsin Institute for Law & Liberty and the Thomas More Society, pursuant to Wis. Stat. §§ 809.13 and/or 803.09, hereby move to intervene to oppose this Petition for Original Action, or, if the Court accepts this original action, to oppose Petitioners' claims on the merits.

In the alternative, pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 809.19(7)(b), Proposed Intervenors move to file their proposed response as an amicus brief in opposition to this Petition for Original Action. Proposed Intervenors' brief in support of intervention also explains the interest of the Proposed Intervenors and why an amicus brief by them is desirable. *See* Wis. Stat. § 809.19(7)(a).

This motion is timely, both with respect to intervention, and with respect to the deadline for filing an amicus brief according to the deadlines set forth by this Court, as well as by statute. 4/16/24 Order; Wis. Stat. § 809.19(7)(b); Wis. Stat. § 809.70(2).

This motion is supported by the affidavits and brief in support of intervention submitted simultaneously with this motion, and is accompanied by a proposed response (or, in the alternative, amicus brief) in opposition to the Petition for Original Action.

CONCLUSION

This Court should reject Petitioners' Original Action Petition and their attempt to create a constitutional right to abortion in Wisconsin. But, if this Court takes this original action, it should grant Proposed Intervenors' motion to intervene to oppose this claim on the merits or, in the alternative, grant Proposed Intervenors leave to file their Proposed Response as an amicus brief.

Dated: April 25, 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

WISCONSIN INSTITUTE FOR
LAW & LIBERTY

Electronically signed by Luke N. Berg _____

Richard M. Esenberg (#1005622)

Luke N. Berg (#1095644)

Nathalie E. Burmeister (#1126820)

330 East Kilbourn Avenue, Suite 725

Milwaukee, WI 53202

Telephone: (414) 727-9455

Facsimile: (414) 727-6385

Rick@will-law.org

Luke@will-law.org

Nathalie@will-law.org

THOMAS MORE SOCIETY

Andrew Bath (#1000096)

309 W. Washington Street

Suite 1250

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Phone: (312) 782-1680

abath@thomasmoresociety.org

*Attorneys for Wisconsin Right to Life,
Wisconsin Family Action, and Pro-Life
Wisconsin*