

18 APPEAL

STATE OF WISCONSIN, COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT 4

Grant County  
Plaintiff-Appellee

Vs

Kenneth Raney  
Defendant-Appellant

Case No. 2017TR4074  
Appeal No. 2018AP700

ON APPEAL FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF GRANT COUNTY,

THE HONORABLE JUDGE DAY, PRESIDING

APPELLANT'S BRIEF

Kenneth Raney

P.O. Box 122

Darlington, Wisconsin 53530

Phone (608) 482-4077

ORAL ARGUMENTS REQUESTED

## Table of Contents

Appellants Brief

8 pages

Appendix

Exhibit 2 from 3-14-18 hearing

1 page

Exhibit 3 from 3-14-18 hearing

1 page

Defendants motion to suppress evidence

2 pages

Exhibit 1 from 3-23-18 hearing

1 page

Exhibit 2 from 3-23-18 hearing

1 page

Exhibit 3 from 3-23-18 hearing

1 page

Exhibit 5 from 3-23-18 hearing

1 page

Exhibit 6 from 3-23-18 hearing

4 pages

Exhibit 7 from 3-23-18 hearing

4 pages

Exhibit 8 from 3-23-18 hearing

4 pages

Exhibit 9 from 3-23-18 hearing

1 page

Exhibit 10 from 3-23-18 hearing

1 page

Appeal Case City of Mequon vs Sarah Peacock

8 pages

## 4TH DISTRICT APPEALS COURT

Grant County Wisconsin  
Plaintiff

Vs

Appeal Number 2018AP700  
Trial Case No 2017TR4074

Kenneth Raney  
Defendant

### **MOTION TO VACATE, SET ASIDE OR CORRECT SENTENCE**

Comes now Kenneth J. Raney, also known as defendant, pro se, asking this honorable court to grant this motion for relief and set aside his conviction and sentence on the OWI from the March 23, 2018 trial and dismiss his case.

### **FACTS OF THE CASE**

On September 1, 2018 Kenneth Raney was pulled over after going out to a festival and dinner with his girlfriend in Dubuque, Iowa. Kenneth Raney had a total of 3 drinks in about a 3-hour period. The Bloody Mary that he had was a 64-ounce drink and very spicy. The drink was either made too strong or the blood alcohol test was inaccurate. The stop was improper and violated defendant's 4<sup>th</sup> amendment rights and the search after the stop was improper. Proper protocol was not followed for the field sobriety test. The blood alcohol test was not accurate, because of the fact that it was hauled around in the officers', Duane Jacobson, car for 4 or 5 days on very hot days. This could have affected the results should have been

keep in controlled environment. Kenneth Raney has a very clear medical condition; his toes point out at an extreme angle and that affected his ability to preform the heal to toe test. He repeatedly told Duane Jacobson he could not perform the heal to toe test and Duane Jacobson made Kenneth Raney continue to do the test and used this to say Kenneth Raney was intoxicated. The expert witness, Lorraine Edwards, from the state testing office said defendant might not have been intoxicated at the time he was driving. No blood alcohol breathalyzer test given at the sight of the stop.

**GROUND ONE: The 911 call should not have been used as a reason to pull Raney over.**

Supporting Facts: Duane Jacobson did not take the call and the caller identified himself, so the anonymous caller should not apply. How does Kenneth Raney and this court know that caller was not drunk or the one causing the problem? When Duane Jacobson pulled behind Kenneth Raney the only things that defendant did was crowd the center line because he was being blinded by the officers' light in his mirrors. Also, he changed lanes to get over and went right back over because of the other officers', name unknown, car coming down the ramp. Which is exactly what

you are supposed to do when a car is coming down a ramp when you can do it safely. So, there was no probable cause for stop. Not all over the road and doing what defendant was supposed to do. In Appeal No. 02-1574 Wisconsin District 2, City of Mequon vs Sarah Peacock court, see attached, stated that the tip is lacking both quality and quantity. The anonymous tip is unsupported by any reliability other than innocent information. All the anonymous tip gave Restivo was bare report of a unknown, unaccountable informant who neither explained how he or she knew the driver of the vehicle had been drinking alcohol nor supplied any basis for believing had any inside information. This tip is nothing more than an uncorroborated bold assertion of criminal activity and cannot support a conclusion that Restivo had the requisite reasonable. Ruling December 11, 2002. This is the same as Kenneth Raney's case. No other facts of this case show Raney was driving erratically.

**GROUND TWO: Ineffective assistance of counsel. Raney's attorney did not bring up fact that he was forced to take the blood screening.**

Supporting Facts: Kenneth Raney told the officer, Duane Jacobson that he had to use the bathroom and the officer

would not let him use the bathroom until he took the blood screen. The officer can't do anything to make defendant take the blood screen. Kenneth Raney told this to his attorney, Brian Severson, and he did not bring this up at the suppression hearing.

GROUND THREE: The officer, Duane Jacobson, did not follow proper procedure to do field sobriety test or submitting the results, as required by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). The officer used copied and pasted reports to get the blood screen order. Also had Kenneth Raney take a test that he was physically unable to perform because of a handicap.

Supporting Facts: Duane Jacobson admitted that there was a flat surface available very close and we should have traveled to it. Duane Jacobson knows that the surface should be flat and put it in his report that the test sight was flat. The attached pictures of the sight; Exhibits 2 and 3 from hearing 3/14/18 and Exhibits 5, 9 and 10, from the two hearings show that it was not flat. Lying about a sight on the forms does not make the test a proper test and should not be allowed. If you look at the video it will show that defendant was doing the field sobriety test that the officer asked me to do. Kenneth Raney was doing the

touching my nose test as instructed by officer Duane Jacobson, but, because of the fact Duane Jacobson copied and pasted, which should never be allowed, the results of the previous persons OWI field sobriety test then he testified to that test not what defendants test was. If you look at the video defendant never swayed once before the officer started the test and stood in front of defendant, the whole time of the test. The officer admitted that mistakes have been made on these reports. Also, Kenneth Raney is physically unable to perform a heel to toe test which the video clearly showed, that he has a physical condition that makes the heel to toe test impossible for defendant, and Kenneth Raney keep telling the officer Duane Jacobson that during the test and the Duane Jacobson did not care and forced Kenneth Raney to continue. Duane Jacobson delayed the blood test for over 30 minutes when the testing hospital was only 3 or 4 minutes away. Duane Jacobson searched Raney's car, without asking Raney for permission, looking inside envelopes and other things claiming he, officer Duane Jacobson, and the other officer, unnamed were looking for alcohol, you will not find alcohol in an envelope and this is just an excuse to search Raney's vehicle.

**GROUND FOUR: DA, Anthony Pozorski, Sr. testified to something not shown on the video or pointed out when the video was shown.**

Supporting Facts: DA, Anthony Pozorski claimed that Kenneth Raney swayed during the test and if you watch the video you will see that defendant did not sway at all before the test. Then during the test when the Duane Jacobson was blocking the view. It is convenient that there is no audio and the Duane Jacobson standing between camera and Kenneth Raney and can claim whatever Duane Jacobson wants. Anthony Pozorski Sr. is not allowed to testify to something not shown or pointed out at trial.

**GROUND FIVE: Expert testified Kenneth Raney might not have been intoxicated at the time of his driving.**

Supporting Facts: After being presented with the facts of this case Lorraine Edwards testified that defendant might not have been intoxicated at the time of his driving. As for the Bloody Mary that defendant drank. It was a 64 oz spicy drink and he could not taste the extra alcohol if there was any in it. Because of the improper handling of the sample by the officer. Test could have been inaccurate and should not be admissible.



GROUND SIX: Driving around with the blood sample in your car on hot days might have affected the sample and raised the alcohol level of sample.

Supporting Facts: Duane Jacobson stated that he carried the sample around in his vehicle until he had time to mail it. Kenneth Raney and Duane Jacobson drove right past the post office on the way to the Grant County sheriff's office and it should have been mailed as soon as possible. The blood sample was not received by the lab until 9/7/2017 almost a week after the sample was taken, see exhibit 3 from hearing 3-23-18. Following an arrest any samples that are collected must be stored in a specific way. If any of these protocols are not followed the blood sample is not admissible. This is against the proper procedure established by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.


Based on the use of the 911 call for the stop. The improper field sobriety test. The improper handling of the test sample. The copy and pasting on the report forms that shows mistakes were made by officer Jacobson. The expert witness testimony that Raney might not have been intoxicated at the time of his driving. Defendant was forced to take the test before he could use the bathroom you can't make someone take a test.

Defendant requests this court grant his request for relief and set aside the conviction of Operating Under the Influence and dismiss the case or remand back to the district court.

9-7-2018

Dated

Respectfully Submitted;



Kenneth J. Raney